SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 2636 / 2006

PETITIONER Deepak Das Vaishnav,
D/o Late Jankidas Vaishnav,
Aged about 40 years,
R/o Village Haidalkoda, Thana Gendatola Tehsil & District Rajnandgaon, C.G. Presently working as Godown Chowkidar, World Food Program, Range office Dallirajhara , District Durg, Chhattisgarh

P.R. No. 6706106
Presented by Shri A. Keshav...
dated 24-11-06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Department of General Administration, D.K.S. Bhawan Mantralaya,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh

RECEIVED-COPY
24/11/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. Chief Conservator of Forest,
Government of Chhattisgarh,
Jail Road, Raipur, C.G

3. Additional Chief Conservator of Forest, (Adm./Non-Gazetted)
Raipur, C.G.

4. Conservator of forest,
Durg Circle, District Durg, C.G.

5. Divisional Forest Officer,
Forest Division, Durg, District Durg, C.G.

OATH COMMISSIONER
S.R. Kausik
TAHSIL

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/[redacted] OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

58

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
W. P. (s) No. 7636 of 2006

Petitioner : Deepak Das Vaishnav

- Versus-

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri.

Shri Jitendra Gupta, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 15th day of January, 2007)

1. The main grievance raised by the petitioner in this Writ Petition is that vide order dated 20.2.1995 (P/2) he was appointed on compassionate ground on the post of Godown Chowkidar as a temporary employee along with 4 other employees and thereafter, the services of those employees have been regularized. But even after the lapse of 11 years and also in spite of the fact that D.F.O. has already recommended to the Conservator of Forest on 26.10.2004 (P/7) for regularization of the services of the petitioner, even then the respondent No. 2 is not passing any order.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has made several representations to the respondent No. 2, but nothing has been heard so far, therefore, he be directed to decide the representation, to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents has no objection.

59

3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, having perused the letter sent by D.F.O. to the Conservator of Forest, and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with copy of this petition to the respondent No. 2 within a period of 10 days and in turn, the respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation, in accordance with law.

4. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K.Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu